# New evidence of the age of the Black Sea Pontian substage

Alena I. Rybkina<sup>1</sup> and Yuliana V. Rostovtseva<sup>2</sup>

Received 27 December 2017; accepted 27 December 2017; published 30 December 2017.

For the five years a set of various data was obtained from the relatively deep-water Upper Miocene sediments exposed in the Zheleznyi Rog section (Taman Peninsula, Russia). The data includes measurements of magnetic susceptibility (MS) and its further time-series analysis. The aim of these studies is to recognize the astronomic cycles correspond to the obliquity and precession variations. The results of this study reflect the strong correlations to the Messinian Salinity Crisis (MSC) of the Mediterranean. The study was supported by the RFBR 17-05-01085 A. *KEYWORDS*: Messinian event; Pontian; Black sea; cyclostratigraphy; magnetic susceptibility.

Citation: Rybkina, Alena I. and Yuliana V. Rostovtseva (2017), New evidence of the age of the Black Sea Pontian substage, *Russ. J. Earth. Sci.*, 17, ES5004, doi:10.2205/2017ES000613.

## Introduction

The object of our study is Pontian deposits of the Black Sea coast in the area of the Zheleznyi Rog Cape in the Taman Region (Figure 1). A detailed description of the Zheleznyi Rog section, which comprises the Pontian, Maeotian, and Upper Sarmatian sediments, has been given in numerous works [Andrusov, 1917; Pevzner et al., 2003; Popov and Zastrozhnov, 1998; Rostovtseva, 2009b]. The successions is mainly represented by clays that allows implementation of the methods of astronomical cyclicity identification based on the measurements of the magnetic susceptibility. We can identify the Lower Pontian (Novorossian according to Eastern Paratethys stratigraphy) as well as the Upper Pontian including the Portaferian and Bosphorian beds [Andrusov, 1917]. Based on the data from complex stratigraphic studies, the age of the base of the Pontian can be  $\sim 6.1 - 6.04$  Ma [Krijgsman et al., 2010; Radionova et al., 2012]. The age of the top of the Pontian is estimated in different ways. Most scientists believe that transition of the Pontian Kimmerian happened at 5.3–5.2 or 4.7 Ma [Krijgsman et al., 2010; Radionova et al., 2012; Trubikhin, 1989]. According to their ideas, the Pontian Regional Stage is correlated with the upper part of the Messinian and probably corresponds to the Lower Pliocene. In this case, the maximum Messinian Salinity Crisis, which resulted in the formation of the Messinian erosional surface (MES) in the Mediterranean in the period of 5.6–5.5 Ma, occurred within the Euxinic and Caspian

Copyright 2017 by the Geophysical Center RAS.

basins in the Pontian. According to some researchers, the Messinian erosional surface (MES) in the Mediterranean is isochronous to the erosional boundary surface between the Lower and Upper Pontian, which has been identified both in Central and Eastern Paratethys [Gillet et al., 2007; Rostovtseva, 2009a; Rostovtseva and Kosorukov, 2012] (Figure 2).

#### Materials and Methods

A detailed description of the Zheleznyi Rog section, which comprises the Pontian, Maeotian, and Upper Sarmatian sediments, has been given in numerous works [Andrusov, 1917; Pevzner et al., 2003; Popov and Zastrozhnov, 1998; Rostovtseva, 2009b]. In order to obtain the cyclostratigraphic data, the magnetic susceptibility (K) of rocks in the studied intervals of the section was measured. Measurements were made every  $20 \pm (1-2)$  cm across the strike of the layers using a K 5 kappabridge (Geofyzika BRNO, Czech Republic). The data were then processed using statistical methods with the construction of Lomb-Scargle and REDFIT periodograms, as well as using AnalySeries program [Paillard et al., 1996; Schulz and Mudelsee, 2002]. During the 2017 filed expedition the new data was obtained from the cross-sections of Zheleznyi Rog Cape. The variation of the signal characterizing the cyclicity of the same global geological process in between  $\sim 7$  and  $\sim 8$  m can be explained by variations in sedimentation rate, which increased in the Late Pontian. The sedimentation rate was  $\sim 0.15-0.16$  mm/year on average.

The magnetic susceptibility data was then analyzed using the AnalySeries program. It allows to use a Gaussian distribution and peaks from Lomb-Scargle periodograms. The periodicity of the cycles were used as a basis for Gaussian

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Geophysical Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm Geological}$  Faculty, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

http://elpub.wdcb.ru/journals/rjes/doi/2017ES000613-res.html



Figure 1. Zheleznyi Rog section (Taman Peninsula).

bandpass filter. Based on obtained Gaussian bandpass filter data it was established that transition beds between the Maeotian and Pontian are characterized by two extreme values of modulating curve. The modulating curve of Gaussian bandpass filter data for Upper Pontian deposits looks like two incomplete cycles, which are separated by two another cycles with less amplitude. Taking data on the age of the studied deposits into account, the obtained results are correlated with the Earth's eccentricity variation curve [Laskar et al., 2004]. the Multi-Function Kappabridge (ACICO, Czechoslovakia) in the laboratory of Dynamic Geology at Moscow State University established that the main minerals-carriers of magnetization in the studied deposits are iron sulfides (for example, pyrrhotite) [*Rostovtseva and Rybkina*, 2014].

### Results

The thermomagnetic analysis of rock samples from the different parts of the section, which was carried out using

During the past studies and the new data obtained from the Taman peninsula a strong correlation of the Pontian deposits to the main steps of Messinian Salinity Crisis (MSC)

netic	et al., 2		Ma dita mana ang	Eastern Paratethys (Black Sea)				
Geomagnetic	Hilgen et 2012	Ма	Mediterranean Hilgen et al., 2012	Trubikhin,1996 (In Popov et al., 1996)	Nevesskaya et al., 2003	Krijgsman et al., 2010 Vasiliev et al., 2011	Radionova et al., 2012 Popov et al., 2013	Pevzner et al., 2003 Semenenko et al.,2009 Gozhyk et al., 2015
	C2Ar	4 -		C Panticapeanian	Panticapeanian		Panticapeanian	<b>S</b> Panticapeanian
	C3n	5 -	Zanclean	Kamyshburunian Kamyshburunian Azovian	Azovian	Kimmerian	Kamyshburunian Azovian	EKamyshburunian
	C3r	6 -		5.3-5.2 E Bosphorian Portaferian	Bosphorian	Portaferian 5.6 Novorossian 6.04	E Bosphorian	Azovian
	C3An	Ū	Messinian	Novorossian 6.15 Akmanaian	Portaferian Novorossian	0.04	Akmanaian	Bosphorian Portaferian
	C3Ar C3Br	7 -	7.25	0 Bagerovian		Maeotian	Bagerovian	O Novorossian
	C3Br C4n C4r	8-	Tortonian	≥ Sarmatian (s.l.)	Maeotian		Sarmatian (s.l.)	Maeotian

Figure 2. Time scales for the Mediterranean and Eastern Paratethys.

of the Mediterranean was revealed. The results of the high-resolution cyclostratigraphic analysis of all the substages of the Pontian designated at the Zheleznvi Rog section (Taman Peninsula) were obtained [Rostovtseva and Rybkina, 2017]. It shows that astronomical tuning of the Maeotian/Pontian transition and the Pontian sedimentary record at the Zheleznyi Rog (Taman region, Black Sea Basin) confirms that the Pontian began at  $\sim 6.1$  Ma. The Maeotian/Pontianbeds were deposited from  $\sim 6.3$  to 6.1 Ma. The Novorossian sediments extending correspond to the first MCS step (5.97–5.6 Ma). The estimated ages of base and the top of Portaferian in the Zheleznyi Rog section are  $\sim 5.65$  Ma and  $\sim 5.45$  Ma, respectively. The Portaferian corresponds to the second MSC step, which is marked by development of the Messinian Erosional Surface (MES). The Novorossian/Portaferian boundary is marked by a hiatus of  $\sim 150-160$  kyr that agrees well with the presence of resedimented deposits and erosional boundaries in Portaferian sedimentary sequence and the concept of intra-Pontian unconformity [Gillet et al., 2007; Suc et al., 2015].

The magnetic susceptibility (MS) of the transition Maeotian/Pontian and Pontian rocks ranges widely with values from 0.016 to  $0.937 \times 10^{-3}$  SI units. The rocks at the Maeotian/Pontian transition exhibit MS values ranging from 0.04 to  $0.16 \times 10^{-3}$  SI units. Novorossian rocks exhibit MS values ranging from 0.016 to  $0.937 \times 10^{-3}$  SI units. Extraordinarly high values of MS (from 0.52 to  $0.937 \times 10^{-3}$  SI) occur in clays of the upper part of the Novorossian at the intervals 65.8-62.0 m and 59.2-51.6 m. Portaferian rocks exhibit MS values ranging from 0.03 to  $0.19 \times 10^{-3}$  SI units. The Bosphorian clays exhibit MS values from 0.05 to  $0.32 \times 10^{-3}$  SI units with higher values (up to  $0.42 \times 10^{-3}$  SI) at the top of these sediments. Spectral analysis of the MS-data of lower Pontian (Novorossian) sediments suggests strong periodicity. The Lomb-Scargle periodogram reveals only one significant signal with periodicity at 59.7 m. Significantly, the REDFIT periodogram with frequency values transformed into depth-domain also displays the signal at 6.1 m. This peak is supported by wavelet analysis that clearly illustrates the presence of a cycle between 5.6 and 7.4 m. It was suggested that the precession (signals at 3.1, 2.7 and 2.3 m), obliquity (signal at 6.1 m) and 400-kyr eccentricity (signal at 59.7 m) cycles are expressed in the MS-data of Novorossian sediments. Eccentricity, obliquity and precession cycles have been defined in the Miocene and Pliocene sedimentary record of the Mediterranean [Gunderson et al., 2012; Lirer et al., 2009] and of the Eastern Paratethys [Popescu et al., 2006, 2010]. It is important to understand the changes in the environment during Pontian events. The beginning of Pontian could be identified as the transgression with low salinity less than 5-8% [Popov et al., 2006]. Portaferian is the regressive event in Dacian Basin [Krijgsman et al., 2010]. Bosphorian corresponds to transgressive event.

The interdisciplinary methods were applied to the investigated successions. It includes biostratigraphic, paleomagnetic and cyclostratigraphic approaches. It revealed that according to the calculations of the stratigraphic levels, the Portaferian layer in the investigated section is  $\sim 5.45-$ 5.65 Ma. Accordin to all data the hiatus appear between lower and Upper Pontian. It was identified based on lithological data and re-sedimented deposits. The durations of the hiatus could be considered as  $\sim 150-160$  ky. It is well correlated with the data of high-amplitude Mediterranean sea-level drop and the onset of the Messinian Erosional Surface (MES) in the Black Sea [Krezsek et al., 2016; Tari et al., 2015].

The calculations of the sedimentation rates also support the obtained results. the Maeotian/Pontian transition the sedimentation rate was estimated at 16.3 cm/kyr. For the Novorossian, the sedimentation rate was estimated at 13.5 cm/kyr, and for the Bosphorian it was estimated at 19.5 cm/kyr. These rates are consistent with the mean rate of deposition in the Black Sea [Denisov, 1998]. Thus during the Pontian, and at the end of the Maeotian, the average sedimentation rate varied from 13.5 to 19.5 cm/kyr.

Acknowledgments. Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR): grant. 17-05-01085 A.

### References

- Andrusov, N. (1917), Pontian stage, Geology of Russia, A. P. Karpinsky (Ed.) 4(2), p. 1–41, Petrograd, Russia. (In Russian)
- Denisov, V. (1998), Regularities of Formationsuspended Materialin the BlackSea, Ph.D. thesis, p. 25, Rostov State University, Rostov-on-Don.
- Gillet, H., G. Lericolais, J.-P. Rehault (2007), Messinian event in the Black Sea: evidence of a Messinian Erosional Surface, *Mar. Geol.*, 244, 142–165,doi:10.1016/j.margeo.2007.06. 004
- Gunderson, K., K. Kodama, D. Anastasio, F. Pazzaglia (2012), Rock-magnetic Cyclostratigraphy for the Late Pliocene – Early Pleistocene Stirone Section, Northern Apennine Mountain Front, Italy, Special Publications 373, Geological Society, London. doi:10.1144/SP373.8
- Krijgsman, W., M. Stoica, I. Vasiliev, V. Popov (2010), Rise and fall of the Paratethys sea during the Messinian Salinity Crisis, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 290, 183–191,doi:10.1016/j.epsl. 2009.12.020
- Krezsek, C., Z. Schleder, Z. Bega, G. Ionescu, G. Tari (2016), The Messinian sea-level fall in the Western Black Sea: small or large? Insights from offshore Romania, *Pet. Geosci.*, 22, No. 4, 392, doi:10.1144/petgeo2015-093
- Laskar, J., P. Robutel, F. Joutel, M. Gastineau, A. C. Correia, B. Levrard (2004), A long term numerical solution for the insolation quantities of the earth, Astron. Astrophys., 428, 261–285, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20041335
- Lirer, F., M. Harzhauser, N. Pelosi, W. Piller, H. Schmid, M. Sprovieri (2009), Astronomically forced teleconnection between Paratethyan and Mediterranean sediments during the middle and late Miocene, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, 275, 13, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.01.006
- Paillard, D., L. Labeyrie, P. Yiou (1996), Macintosh program performs timeseries analysis, *Trans Am. Geophys. Union*, 77, 379, doi:10.1029/96EO00259
- Pevzner, M., V. Semenenko, E. Vangengeim (2003), Position the Pontian of the Eastern Paratethys in the magnetochrono-

logical scale, Stratigr. Geol. Correl., 11, 482-491.

- Popescu, S.-M., J.-P. Suc, M.-F. Loutre (2006), Early Pliocene vegetation changes forced by eccentricity-precession. Example from Southwestern Romania, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeocli*matol. Palaeoecol., 238, 340–348,doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2006. 03.032
- Popescu, S.-M., et al. (2010), Pliocene and lower Pleistocene vegetation and climate changes at the European scale: long pollen records and climatostratigraphy, *Quat. Int.*, 219, 152–167, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2010.03.013
- Popov, S., A. Zastrozhnov (1998), Neogene Key Sections of the Eastern Paratethys (Taman Peninsula), 20 pp., Tour Guide, Volgograd-Taman.
- Popov, S., I. Shcherba, L. Ilyina, L. Nevesskaya, N. Paramonova, S. Khondkarian, I. Magyar (2006), Late Miocene to Pliocene palaeogeography of the Paratethys and its relation to the Mediterranean, *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology*, 238, 91–106, doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.03.020
- Radionova, E., et al. (2012), Middleeupper Miocene stratigraphy of the Taman Peninsula, Eastern Paratethys, *Cent. Eur.*
- J. Geosci., 4, No. 1, 188–204, doi:10.2478/s13533-011-0065-8 Rostovtseva, Yu. (2009a), Lower Maeotian facies of the Taman trough, Lithology and Mineral Resources, 44, 451–
- Taman trough, Lithology and Mineral Resources, 44, 451–464, doi:10.1134/S0024490209050046
- Rostovtseva, Y. (2009b), The Layer by Layer Description of Upper Miocene Sediments of the Zheleznyi Rog Section. Taman Peninsula (Eastern Paratethys), 109–114 pp., GEOS, Moscow.
- Rostovtseva, Yu., V. Kosorukov (2012), Clay minerals in the middle-upper Miocene sediments of the Taman trough: composition and paleogeographic significance, *Lithology and Mineral Resources*, 47, 234–252, doi:10.1134/S002449021202006X

- Rostovtseva, Yu., A. Rybkina (2014), Cyclostratigraphy of Pontian Deposits of the Eastern Paratethys (Zheleznyi Rog Section, Taman Region), *Mosc. Univ. Geol. Bull.*, 69, No. 4, 236–241, doi:10.3103/S0145875214040103
- Rostovtseva, Yu., A. Rybkina (2017), The Messinian event in the Paratethys: Astronomical tuning of the Black Sea Pontian, *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 80, 321–332, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.12.005
- Schulz, M., M. Mudelsee (2002), REDFIT: estimating rednoise spectra directly from unevenly spaced paleoclimatic time series, *Comput. Geosci.*, 28, 421–426,doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(01)00044-9
- Suc, J.-P., et al. (2015), The region of the Strandja Sill (North Turkey) and the Messinian events, *Mar. Pet. Geol.*, 66, 149–164, doi:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.01.013
- Tari, G., M. Fallah, W. Kosi, J. Floodpage, J. Baur, Z. Bati, N. Sipahioğlu (2015), Is the impact of the Messinian Salinity Crisis in the Black Sea comparable to that of the Mediterranean?, Mar. Pet. Geol., 66, 135–148,doi:10.1144/petgeo 2016-003
- Trubikhin, V. (1989), Paleomagnetic data for the Pontian, Chronostratigraphie Neostratotypen, 7, 76–79.

Yuliana V. Rostovtseva, Geological Faculty, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, GSP-1 119991 Moscow, Russia

Alena I. Rybkina, Geophysical Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Molodezhnaya St. 3, 119296 Moscow, Russia. (a.rybkina@gcras.ru)