RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF EARTH SCIENCES vol. 15, 2, 2015, doi: 10.2205/2015ES000548

Ionospheric response to annular and partial solar eclipse of 29 April 2014 in Antarctica and Australian Regions

Roshni Atulkar, Parvaiz A. Khan, Hussain Jeevakhan, and P. K. Purohit

National Institute of Technical Teachers' Training and Research, Bhopal, MP, India

Abstract. An annular and partial solar eclipse was observed on 29 April 2014 over Australian and Antarctic regions. In this study we have analyzed the ionospheric response of this solar eclipse event. We have done a comprehensive study to find out the changes that occurred in various ionospheric parameters during the solar eclipse event over Australia and Antarctic region. We selected four Australian stations Brisbane (27.5°S, 152.9°E), Canberra (35.3°S, 149.1°E), Hobart (42.9°S, 147.3°E) and Perth (31.955°S, 115.859°E) as well as one Antarctic station Mawson (70.6455°S, 131.2573°E). We have studied the changes in the E and Fionospheric layers using the ground based observations at these stations. From our analysis we found that there occurred a decrease in the critical frequencies of sporadic $E(f_o E_s)$ and F (f_0F2) layers during the time eclipse was in progress at all the four Australian stations while as at Antarctic the value of $f_o F2$ recorded an enhancement. At the same time an increase in the corresponding heights of these layers $(h'E_s, h'F2)$ was also observed.

This is the e-book version of the article, published in Russian Journal of Earth Sciences (doi:10.2205/2015ES000548). It is generated from the original source file using LaTeX's **epub.cls** class.

1. Introduction

Solar eclipse is one of the important solar terrestrial events which have a direct impact on Earth's ionosphere. A solar eclipse provides us with a rare opportunity to study the ionospheric effects associated with an accurately estimated variation of solar radiation during the eclipse period. The ultraviolet radiations from the sun are blocked during solar eclipse, the ions and electrons start to recombine, therefore, the number of electrons and ions decrease. Hence compared to a normal day the loss rate of plasma on an eclipse day dominates over production rate. Thus, during solar eclipse lower electron density in the ionosphere is expected.

A comparative study of the different ionospheric parameters has revealed very interesting features. The temporal variation of h'F, f_oF2 and f_oF1 on the eclipse day has also been carried out by many workers earlier. It has been found that h'F shows an oscillatory behavior during the course of the eclipse. In comparison with temporal variation of f_oF2 on the control days it drops by about 15% on the eclipse day. On the other hand f_oF1 decreases by as much as 50% on the eclipse day with no time lag between the time of maximum

obscuration and the time of maximum decline of f_oF1 [Rama Rao et al., 1997].

Reduction of 20% was noticed both in f_{min} and in the F1 layer critical frequency during total solar eclipse of 11 August 1999. The signal strengths of the oblique incidence paths also point to eclipse associated decreases in ionization in the D and lower E-region [Chandraet al., 2007]. Sporadic E is a thin layer with dense patches of ionization around E region altitudes, sporadic E is generally observed between height of 95 km and 120 km. The E_s structure and the related winds and wind shears for the formation of E_s at magnetic equator (Thumba, dip $2^{\circ}N$) were studied in detail by [Sridharan et al., 1989]. [Chen et al., 2010] described the observations of sporadic $E(E_s)$ layer behavior over east China during the total solar eclipse. Sequential sporadic E layers at low latitude in the Indian sector were presented by [Jayachandran et al., 1999] by comparing (Waltair, dip 20°) with (Thumba, dip $2^{\circ}N$) and (SHAR dip 10°). They provided the experimental evidence for the wind shear theory for the formation of descending night time sporadic E layers by using three ionosonde data. They concluded that the night time descending sporadic E layers are produced by the combined effect of the equator ward propagating gravity

wave and the increased pole ward neutral wind which brings the ionization downward through the field-line [Veenadhari et al., 2002]. The E_s consistently occurs around 100 km and the ordinary critical frequency of E_s layer $(f_o E_s)$ is unpredictable. The value of $f_o E_s$ is many times higher than its mean value [Chavdarov et al., 1968]. For the shadowing E_s -layer that occurred on 22 and 23, July, 2009 the blanketing frequency $f_b E_s$ was approximately equal to the critical frequency $f_o E_s$ [Piqqott and Rawer, 1961; Reddy and Rao, 1968]. The sudden increase of foEs in the solar eclipse period was also observed over Haringhata [Datta, 1972, 1973]. It is worth noting that when the solar radiation decreases during totality the maximum electron concentration of E_s did not fall but rose. The critical frequency of the E-layer was not measurable beyond 1600 h IST on eclipse day due to the strong blanketing sporadic-E, but 20% decrease in the critical frequency of the F1-layer and the minimum virtual height of the F-layer on eclipse day, decrease in the height of maximum ionization $(h_{\rho}F2)$ during the eclipse, indicating a reduction in the thickness of the F-layer [Chandra et al., 2007]. The ionosphere E and F1 layers are affected more than the F2 region during a solar eclipse [Rishbeth and Garriott, 1969]. The electron concentration value observed by [*Nayak and Tiwari*, 2012] they found no appreciable changes in F2 layer whereas 30% reduction observed in the f_oF1 during the maximum phase of eclipse.

2. Observation and Data

An annular and partial solar eclipse occurred on 29 April 2014. A solar eclipse occurs when the moon passes between Earth and the sun, thereby totally or partly obscuring the image of the sun for a viewer on earth. An annular solar eclipse occurs when the moon's apparent diameter is smaller than the sun's, blocking most of the solar radiation and causing the sun to look like an annular (ring). An annular eclipse appears as a partial eclipse over a region of the Earth thousands of kilometers wide. The path of the eclipse and the area that had been covered by the eclipse shadow is shown in Figure 1. The solar eclipse was first observed at the Antarctica at exactly 05:00 UT and moved across Australian regions, Canberra (06:08 UT), Brisbane (06:31 UT), Perth (06:42 UT), and Hobart (07:00 UT).

To investigate the ionospheric response of this solar eclipse we have selected four ionosonde stations in



Figure 1. The path of annular and partial solar eclipse on 29th, April 2014 (Antarctica and Australia region). Images are compiled from NASA Eclipse Web Śite.

Table 1. Locations	ocal Circu	imstances	tor A	nnular So	lar Ecl	ipse on 2	9 Apri	l 2014	at all I	lve
Station	Geog. latitude	Geog. Iongitude	Start time	Maximum time	End 1 time o	Maximum bscur., %	$\Delta f_o F2$, MHz	$\Delta f_o E_{ m s},$ MHz	∆ <i>h'F</i> 2, km	$\Delta h' E_{\rm s},$ km
Brisbane, QLD	-27.5	152.9	06:31	07:17s	s I	0.239	-1.39	0.12	0	21.6
Canberra, NSW	-35.3	149.1	06:08	07:12	s	0.461	-1.39	0.55	7.2	-15
Hobart, TAS	-42.9	147.3	05:51	00:70	s I	0.644	1.43	-0.69	25.2	18.8
Perth, WA	-31.955	115.859	05:17	06:42	07:59	0.492	-1.38	-0.36	24	3.6
Antarctica										
(Mawson)	-70.6455	131.2573	04:53	06:03	07:11	0.987	0.23	2.59	-16.8	-12

Note: The time is in UT and "s" indicates eclipse in progress at sunset.

the Australian region and one station in the Antarctic region over which the eclipse event was observed. The location, eclipse timings and maximum obstructions of the selected ionosonde stations is provided in Table 1. The enhancement/decrement of the ionospheric parameters at each station are also provided in this table.

The ionosonde data used in the study was taken from National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) database under URL: http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr/. NGDC maintains a huge database of ionosonde data recorded over more than 250 ionosonde stations. The data of different ionospheric parameters is provided in different time resolutions. However, we have taken the values of f_0E_s , f_0F2 , $h'E_s$ and h'F2 with 15 minute resolution. To quantify the eclipse effect we have calculated the changes in these parameters denoted as $\Delta f_o E_s$, $\Delta f_o F_2$, $\Delta h' E_s$ and $\Delta h' F_2$. The changes on the eclipse day were calculated from the median of control days i.e. by subtracting the control day median from the eclipse day median. In order to isolate and associate the ionospheric effects with the eclipse we have checked for the solar and geomagnetic disturbances. To characterize the geomagnetic and solar activity levels we have used few indices (Dst, Ap,

Kp) taken from Space Physics Data Facility (SPDF) at http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov.

3. Results and Discussion

In order to associate the ionospheric effects with the eclipse event and to confirm that these effects were not associated with solar or geomagnetic disturbances we have checked for the variation of geomagnetic indices during the eclipse and control days and is presented in the Figure 2. From Figure 2 it can be seen that a magnetic disturbance of moderate intensity with minimum *Dst* of -67 nT was observed on 30 April 2014. The value of Kp index and Ap index also recorded an increase with peak values 8.6 and 62 respectively indicating an increased geomagnetic activity. However, the eclipse day was a completely quiet day as indicated by the lowest values of Kp and Ap indices. Therefore, the observed ionospheric effects were not due to geomagnetic disturbance.

In order to assess the effect of annular solar eclipse on ionospheric parameters, an estimate must be made of the variation of these parameters in the absence and presence of eclipse. Observations on the eclipse day i.e. 29 April 2014 were compared with those of the one



Figure 2. Variation of *Dst*, *Ap* and *Kp* during 28 Apr.–30 Apr. 2014.

day each before and after the eclipse day chosen as the control days. One of the important parameters of F layer that is vulnerable to eclipse event is the critical frequency of F2 layer $(f_o F2)$. Consequently, we have studied the temporal variation of $f_o F2$ on the eclipse day and compared it with the control days. Figure 3 shows the time profile of $f_o F2$ on the eclipse day and two control days at all the five selected stations. In the inset we have incorporated the variation of $f_o F2$ during the eclipse time at each station. From the Figure 3 we find a decrease occurred in the f_oF2 during the eclipse time compared to two control days at Brisbane, Canberra, Perth and Hobart while an increase in $f_o F2$ was observed at Antarctic during the eclipse time compared to control days.

The amount of decrease and increase at each station in provided in Table 1. Since the amount of solar radiation incident on the ionosphere decreases, the ionospheric ionization also decreases resulting decrease in electron density and hence f_oF2 decreases. At the same we also investigated the change in the height of the *F* layer. Figure 4 shows the temporal variation of h'F2 during the control days and the eclipse day at all the five selected stations. From the figure we find that an increase in h'F2 occurred during eclipse time



2014 at Brisbane, Canberra, Perth, Ho Antarctica (Mawson).



Antarctica (Mawson).



Figure 5. Variation of $f_o E_s$ during 28–30 April 2014 at Brisbane, Canberra, Perth, Hobart and Antarctica (Mawson).



Antarctica (Mawson).

compared to control days at Brisbane, Perth and Hobart while a decrease in h'F2 was recorded at Canberra and Antarctic. The amount of decrease and increase at each station is provided in Table 1.

We then investigated the eclipse induced changes in the E layer ionosphere by considering $f_0 E_s$ and $h' E_s$ parameters. Figure 5 shows the time profile of $f_0 E_s$ on the eclipse and control days at all the selected stations. From this figure we find the value of $f_o E_s$ decreased during the eclipse time compared to the control days at all the selected stations. The amount of decrease at each station is provided in Table 1. The amount of decrease in $f_{o}E_{s}$ is more than the amount of decrease in f_0F2 . Also we investigated the changes that occurred in the height of E_s i.e. $h'E_s$ at each station on the eclipse and compared it with the control days. The temporal changes that occurred in the $h'E_s$ on 28, 29 and 30 April 2014 are shown in Figure 6. A significant increase in height was found during the eclipse time at all the station except at Canberra where a decrease in height was recorded during the eclipse time.

4. Conclusion

Analysis of the ionospheric parameters at 5 selected ionosonde stations located at Australian and Antarctica during the annular solar eclipse on 29 April 2014 showed the occurrence of depletion in the f_oF2 , f_oE_s and an enhancement in the corresponding heights of these layers h'F2 and h'Es. The conclusion of the study was, as the layer heights of E and F layer are increased, there was a corresponding decrease in critical frequencies of these layers. The behavior of f_oF2 and h'F2was different at Australian and Antarctic stations.

Acknowledgments. The author (RA) would like to thank National Institute of Technical Teachers' Training and Research, Bhopal (MP), India for MHRD fellowship, National Geophysical Data Center's (NGDC), Space Physics Interactive Data Resource (SPIDR) website at http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr/, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) eclipse service (http://eclipse.gsfc.nasa.gov/eclipse.html), and Omni Data centre website http:// omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov, for providing data.

References

- Chandra, H., S. Sharma, P. D. Lele, G. Rajaram, A. Hanchinal (2007), lonospheric measurements during the total solar eclipse of 11 August 1999, *Earth Planets and Space*, 59, 59C 64, doi:10.1186/BF03352023
- Chavdarov, S. S., S. P. Chernysheva, A. M. Svechnikov (1968), Influence of radio wave absorption in the ionosphere on the variation of sporadic *E* layer parameters, *Geomagn. Aeron.*, 8, 294–298.
- Chen, G., Z. Zhao, C. Zhou, G. Yang, Y. Zhang (2010), Solar eclipse effects of 22 July 2009 on sporadic-*E*, *Journal of Annales Geophysicae*, *28*, 353–357, doi:10.5194/angeo-28-353-2010
- Datta, R. N. (1972), Solar eclipse effect on sporadic-*E* ionization, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 77, No. 1, 260–262, doi:10.1029/JA077i001p00260
- Datta, R. N. (1973), Solar eclipse effect on sporadic-E ionization, 2, Journal of Geophysical Research, 78, No. 1, 320–322, doi:10.1029/JA078i001p00320
- Jayachandran, P. T., P. Sriram, P. V. S. Rama Rao, et al. (1999), Sequential sporadic-E layers at low latitudes in the Indian sector, *Journal of Annales Geophysicae*, 17, 519–525, doi:10.1007/s005 85-999-0519-1
- Nayak, C. K., D. Tiwari, K. Emperumal, A. Bhattacharyya (2012), The equatorial ionospheric response over Tirunelveli to the 15 January 2010 annular solar eclipse: observations, *Ann. Geophys.*, 30, 1371–1377, doi:10.5194/angeo-30-1371-2012

Piggott, W. R., K. Rawer, Eds. (1961), URSI Handbook of Iono-

gram Interpretation and Reduction, 324 pp., Elsevier, Amsterdam.

- Rama Rao, P. V. S., D. S. V. V. D. Prasad, P. Sri Ram, et al. (1997), lonospheric changes observed overWaltair (Dip 20°) during the total solar eclipse of 24th October 1995, *TAO*, 8, No. 2, 203–212.
- Reddy, C. A., M. M. Rao (1968), On the physical significance of the E_s parameters $f_b E_s$, $f E_s$ and $f_o E_s$, Journal of Geophysical Research, 73, No. 1, 215–224.
- Rishbeth, H., O. K. Garriott (1969), *Introduction of Ionospheric Physics*, 331 pp., Academic Press, New York.
- Sridharan, R., R. Raghavaro, R. Suhasini, et al. (1989), Winds, wind shears and plasma densities during the initial phase of a magnetic storm from equatorial latitudes, *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 51, 169–177, doi:10.1016/0021-9169(89)90099-8
- Veenadhari, B., R. S. Dabas, V. K. Vohra, D. R. Lakshmi, N. K. Sethi, S. C. Garg (2002), Study on Sporadic *E* occurrences observed at New Delhi with Modern Digital Ionosonde, *Proc. GA*, *2*, 0783.